Reslizumab(CINQAIR)

National Drug Monograph

VA Pharmacy Benefits Management Services, Medical Advisory Panel, and VISN Pharmacist Executives

The purpose of VA PBM Services drug monographs is to provide a comprehensive drug review for making formulary decisions. Updates will be made when new clinical data warrant additional formulary discussion. Documents will be placed in the Archive section when the information is deemed to be no longer current.

FDA Approval Information	
Indication(s) Under Review	Reslizumab is an interleukin-5 antagonist monoclonal antibody indicated for add-on maintenance treatment of patients with severe asthma aged 18 years and older, and with an eosinophilic phenotype.
	Reslizumab is not indicated for treatment of other eosinophilic conditions or for the relief of acute bronchospasm or status asthmaticus.
Dosage Form(s) Under Review	For intravenous infusion: 100mg /10mL solution in single-use vials
REMS	REMS No REMS
	See Other Considerations for additional REMS information
Pregnancy Rating	Data on pregnancy exposure are insufficient to inform on drug-associated risks.

	Executive Summary
Efficacy	 Reslizumab was FDA approved for add-on maintenance treatment of patients with severe asthma aged 18 or older with an eosinophilic phenotype the based upon 4 pivotal phase III trials Reslizumab vs. placebo as add-on to at least medium-dose inhaled corticosteroids ± controller drug ± oral corticosteroids was shown to reduce the risk of exacerbations by about half. Reslizumab improved symptoms, quality of life, and pulmonary function (FEV1) compared to placebo. There was no significant decrease in rescue inhaler use Oral glucocorticoid sparing effect and ability to step-down other asthma medications were not evaluated.
Safety	 Anaphylaxis occurred with reslizumab infusion in 0.3% of patients in placebo-controlled trials. Anaphylaxis events were observed during or within 20 minutes after completion of the reslizumab infusion and reported as early as the second dose of reslizumab. Manifestations included dyspnea, decreased oxygen saturation, wheezing, vomiting, and skin and mucosal involvement, including urticaria. At least one malignant neoplasm was reported in 0.6% patients receiving reslizumab and 0.3% patients in the placebo group. The malignancies reported in the reslizumab group were diverse in nature and without clustering of any particular tissue type. The majority were diagnosed within less than six months of exposure to reslizumab. It is unknown if reslizumab will influence a patient's response against parasitic infections (patients with known parasitic infections were excluded from the clinical trials). CPK elevation >10x ULN regardless of baseline value were reslizumab (0.8%) and placebo (0.4%). Elevations >10 x ULN were asymptomatic and did not lead to treatment discontinuation.
Other Considerations	 There has been a relatively small number of patients and a short duration of follow-up of studies; durability of treatment uncertain and whether relatively uncommon adverse events, such as opportunistic infections or anaphylaxis, will emerge with greater patient exposure Reslizumab is administered as 3mg/kg every 4 weeks by IV infusion over 20-50 minutes. Reslizumab should be administered in a healthcare setting by a healthcare professional prepared to manage anaphylaxis

Projected Place in Therapy	Severe asthma that is not controlled on at least medium-dose ICS + at least one controller drug \pm oral corticosteroids with evidence of eosinophilic inflammation		
Background			
Purpose for Rev	view	tolerability, efficacy, cost, an	ph is to evaluate the available evidence of safety, d other pharmaceutical issues that would be mab for possible addition to the VA National
Other Therapeu	itic Options	Formulary Alternatives	Other Considerations
		N/A Non-formulary Alternatives	Other Considerations
		Omalizumab	For those who meet eligibility criteria for reslizumab AND omalizumab, either SINGLE agent could be selected
		Mepolizumab	Administered subcutaneously Lower risk of anaphylaxis?? Based on limited clinical trial data, there were no cases of anaphylaxis; however, it is unknown if cases will emerge with wider usage.

Efficacy (FDA Approved Indications)

Literature Search Summary

A literature search was performed on PubMed/Medline (1966 to, June 30, 2016) using the search term reslizumab and asthma. The search was limited to studies performed in humans and published in the English language.

Review of Efficacy

This review will discuss the four primary efficacy trials comparing reslizumab and placebo; two 52-week and two 16-week trials (**Table 1**). Reslizumab was administered as 3mg/kg via intravenous infusion. The study by Bjermer was a dose-ranging trial that included a reslizumab 0.3mg/kg arm. Efficacy results are not shown for the unapproved 0.3mg/kg dose. All treatments were administered every 4 weeks.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

The key inclusion criteria in the primary trials were diagnosis of asthma, ages 12-75 years (18-75 in Corren et al), airway reversibility $\geq 12\%$ to a short-acting beta-agonist, Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) score ≥ 1.5 ; additional inclusion criteria specific to each trial are shown in <u>Table 1</u>.

Key exclusions for the 52-week trials were current smoker; other underlying lung disorder; known hypereosinophilic syndrome; any clinically meaningful comorbidity that could interfere with study, current use of systemic immunosuppressive, immunomodulation or other biologic agents within prior 6 months; HIV, AIDS or congenital immunodeficiency; active parasitic infection within prior 6 months; receipt of live attenuated vaccines within prior 12-weeks; infection in prior 4 weeks necessitating hospitalization for \geq 24 hours or treatment with IV/oral antibiotics; treatment for asthma exacerbation in prior 4 weeks; pregnant/nursing (see original publications for a list of complete inclusion/exclusion criteria).

Earlier studies with reslizumab indicate that eosinophilic asthma can be characterized by a sputum eosinophil count of \geq 3% and that reslizumab is expected to benefit patients with asthma with sputum eosinophil count of \geq 3%. The sponsor chose blood eosinophil as a surrogate of sputum eosinophilia because of the ease of obtaining in clinical practice. The sponsor selected \geq 400 cells/µL as the threshold based on a secondary analysis of datasets from asthma patients that indicated blood eosinophil count of \geq 400 cells/µL had a high positive predictive value for the presence of sputum eosinophils of \geq 3%, and a count of <400 cells/µL identified the majority of patients without sputum eosinophilia. It should be noted that a definitive threshold value of eosinophilia has not been defined.

Study	Duration (weeks)	Treatment arms	n	Baseline medications	Exacerbation history	Eosinophilic inflammation criteria
Castro				At least medium dose ICS* ±	≥1 exacerbation requiring	Blood eosinophil count ≥ 400
Castro	52	RSLZ	245	other controller drugs ±oral	systemic steroids in previous	cells/mcL within 3-4 weeks of
2015		PBO	244	steroids	year	dosing
Casture				At least medium dose ICS ±	≥1 exacerbation requiring	
Castro	52	RSLZ	232	other controller drugs ±oral	systemic steroids in previous	Same as above
2015		PBO	232	steroids	year	
				At least medium dose ICS ±		
Bjermer	10	RSLZ 3	103	other controller drugs		Como os ob euro
2016	16	RSLZ 0.3	103	Maintenance oral steroids not	No exacerbation requirements	Same as above
		РВО	105	allowed		
				At least medium dose ICS ±		None
Corren				other controller drugs		
2016	16	RSLZ	398	Maintenance oral steroids not	No exacerbation requirements	(in trial, 20% had eosinophil
		PBO	98	allowed		count ≥ 400 cells/mcL)

 Table 1: Inclusion Criteria for Primary Trials

Abbreviations: ICS=inhaled corticosteroid; PBO=placebo; RSLZ=reslizumab

*Medium dose ICS fluticasone propionate ≥440mcg/day or equivalent)

Baseline Characteristics

In the 52-week studies, 63% were female, 73% were white, the approximate mean values were as follows; age 47 years, duration of asthma 19 years, FEV1 % predicted 66%, and eosinophil count 654 cells per mcL. Approximately 84% were using a LABA, 57% were using medium-dose and 43% high-dose ICS at baseline. The mean number of exacerbation in the prior year was 2; approximately 41% had \geq 2 and 21% had \geq 3 exacerbations in the prior year.

In the 16-week studies, 61% were female, 73% were white, the approximate mean values were as follows; age 45 years, , duration of asthma 20-26 years, FEV1 % predicted 68%, and eosinophil count 614 cells per mcL (Bjermer) and 280 (Corren) cells per mcL. Approximately 82% were using a LABA. Medium-dose ICS was used in 67% (Bjermer) and 76% (Corren) of patients; the remainder of patients were using high-dose ICS. The mean number of exacerbation in the prior year was 2; approximately 20% had \geq 2 and 12% had \geq 3 exacerbations in the prior year.

Exacerbations

The primary endpoint of the Castro trials was exacerbations. Exacerbation was defined as worsening of asthma that required at least ONE of the following: systemic steroids or 2-fold increase in ICS for \geq 3 days AND/OR asthma-related emergency treatment (unscheduled visit to provider, ER visit, hospitalization). The medical intervention had to be corroborated with at least ONE of the following: \geq 20% decrease from baseline in FEV1, \geq 30% decrease from baseline in peak expiratory flow rate on two consecutive days, worsening of symptoms or other clinical signs per physician evaluation of event. Exacerbations were not evaluated in the 16-week trials.

Reslizumab reduced the risk of all exacerbations compared to placebo (<u>**Table 2**</u>). This was mainly driven by reduction in events requiring systemic steroids. Although a numerical difference was noted, there was no statistically significant difference between the 2 groups for events requiring hospitalization/ER visit.

Table 2: Exacerbations

	Duration	Тх		Mean # No exacerbation		Exace	rbation Rate(per patien	it-year)
Study	(weeks)	arms	n	exacerbations in prior year	during tx period (%)	All episodes	Requiring systemic steroids	Hospitalization/ ED visit
Castro	52	RSLZ	245	1.99	62	0.90*	0.72*	0.14
2015	52	PBO	244	1.99	46	1.80	1.60	0.21
Castro	53	RSLZ	232	1.04	75	0.86*	0.65*	0.03
2015	52	РВО	232	1.94	55	2.11	1.66	0.05

*significant vs. placebo

A pooled post-hoc analysis of the Castro studies evaluated exacerbation outcomes according to age of asthma onset. Those who were diagnosed with asthma after the age of 40 years (late-onset asthma) had a greater reduction in asthma exacerbation and improvement in FEV1 at 52 weeks than those diagnosed with asthma before the age of 40 (<u>Table 3</u>) These results were presented as a late-breaking abstract at the 2015 ERS International Congress in Amsterdam.

	Asthma exacerbations	FEV1 (mL) treatment difference vs.		
	Rate ratio [95% CI]	placebo [95%CI]		
Overall	0.46 [0.37, 0.58]	110 [66-154]		
Age <40 years	0.58 [0.44, 0.76]	88 [34, 142]		
Age≥ 40 years	0.25 [0.16, 0.40]	167 [89, 245]		

Table 3: Exacerbations According to Age of Asthma Diagnosis

Symptom control/Quality of Life

The Asthma Control Questionnaire-7 (ACQ-7) consists of seven questions regarding frequency and severity of symptoms over the past week. Each response is graded on a 0-6 scale with higher scores indicating poorer control. The minimum clinically important difference (MID) for the mean score is 0.5 points.

The Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) measures physical and emotional impact of asthma. There are 32 items within the 4 domains: symptoms, activity limitation, emotional function, and environmental stimuli. The MID for the AQLQ for the mean score is 0.5 points.

Asthma Symptom Utility Index (ASUI) is an 11 item questionnaire with scores range from 0 (worst possible symptoms) to 1 (no symptoms). This instrument assesses frequency and severity of cough, wheezing, dyspenia, and nighttime awakening, and medication side effects. The MID has not been well established. One study has determined the MID to be 0.09 and another to be 0.15.

In the 52 week trials, there was significantly greater improvement in the ACQ-7, AQLQ, and ASUI scores with reslizumab compared to placebo. A greater proportion of patients in the reslizumab groups achieved the MID of \geq 0.5 for ACQ and AQLQ (**Table 4**).

The improvement in ACQ score was significant in both 16 week trials with reslizumab versus placebo; however, the proportion of patients achieving the MID did not differ between groups in the trial by Bjermer (not discussed in Corren). In Bjermer, the improvement in the AQLQ score and the proportion of patients meeting the MID was significantly greater with reslizumab. There was no significant improvement in the ASUI score (**Table 4**).

Ctudy	Тх	Baseline	ACQ-7	Baseline	AQLQ	Baseline	ASUI
Study	arms	ACQ-7	Δ score (% pts MID)	AQLQ	Δ score (% pts MID)	ASUI	∆ score
Castro	RSLZ	2.66	-1.02* (76)*	4.30	1.09* (74)*	0.63	0.19*
2015	PBO	2.76	-0.76 (63)	4.16	0.79 (65)	0.61	0.13
Castro	RSLZ	2.57	-1.04* (77)*	4.35	1.12* (73)*	0.66	0.15*
2015	PBO	2.61	-0.80 (61)	4.22	0.89 (62)	0.65	0.11
Bjermer	RSLZ 3	2.59	-0.85* (64)	4.18	1.14* (64)*	0.66	0.13
2016	PBO	2.47	-0.49 (58)	4.37	0.78 (48)	0.67	0.08
Corren	RSLZ	2.55	-0.84*	NI / A	NI/A	NI/A	
2016	PBO	2.56	-0.65	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 4: Asthma Symptoms and Quality of Life

*significant vs. placebo

Data for Castro trials are at week 52 and Bjermer and Corren at week 16

Pulmonary Function

The primary endpoint in the 16 week trials was change in FEV1. The 52-week trials evaluated FEV1 at 16 weeks as a secondary endpoint; data were also collected at 52 weeks. There was significant improvement in FEV1 at week 16 compared to placebo in all the trials except for the trial by Corren (**Table 5**). Recall that the Corren trial did not require baseline eosinophils \geq 400 cells/µL as an inclusion criterion; therefore, patients with values above and below 400cells/µL were included. A subgroup analysis showed those with eosinophils \geq 400cells/µL, had significant improvement in FEV1 compared to placebo (treatment difference 0.270L [95%CI 0.008, 0.532; p=0.043]). Comparatively, the subgroup of patients with baseline eosinophils \leq 400cells/µL, did not have significant improvement in FEV1 with reslizumab vs. placebo (treatment difference 0.033L [95%CI -0.073, 0.0539; p=NS]).

Longer-term data show that improvement in FEV1 at week 52 is maintained.

Study	Treatment arms	Baseline FEV1 (L)	ΔFEV1 (L) Week 16	ΔFEV1 (L) Week 52	SABA use in past 3 days (% pts)	Baseline SABA (puffs/day)^	SABA (puffs/day)
Castro	RSLZ	1.89	0.248*	0.235*	78	2.4	-0.58
2015	РВО	1.93	0.110	0.109	78	2.7	-0.42
Castro	RSLZ	2.13	0.187*	0.201*	69	1.9	-0.73
2015	РВО	2.00	0.094	0.11	77	2.1	-0.55
Bjermer	RSLZ 3	2.19	0.286*	NI / A	N/A	2.2	-0.9*
2016	PBO	2.22	0.126	N/A	N/A	2.3	-0.3
Corren	RSLZ	2.10	-0.256	NI / A	NI / A	1.9	-0.3
2016	PBO	2.18	-0.187	N/A	N/A	2.0	-0.4

Table 5: Change from Baseline in FEV1 (mL) and Rescue Inhaler Use

^Mean SABA use in the past 3 days

Short-acting beta-agonist use

Only the trial by Bjermer showed a small, but statistically significant decrease in as needed SABA use (Table 5).

<u>Oral glucocorticoid sparing effect</u> Oral glucocorticoid sparing effects were not evaluated.

<u>Ability to step-down background medications</u> Not assessed

Long-term Studies

There is an ongoing long-term open-label extensions study from both Castro trials and the trial by Bjermer.

Potential Off-Label Use

This section is not intended to promote any off-label uses. Off-label use should be evidence-based. See VA PBM-MAP and Center for Medication Safety's <u>Guidance on "Off-label" Prescribing</u> (available on the VA PBM Intranet site only).

Hypereosinophilic syndrome, eosinophilic esophagitis, nasal polyposis, COPD

Safety

(for more detailed information refer to the product package insert)

	Comments
Boxed Warning	Anaphylaxis occurred with reslizumab infusion in 0.3% of patients in placebo-controlled trials. Patients should be observed for an appropriate period of time after reslizumab infusion; healthcare
	professionals should be prepared to manage anaphylaxis that can be life-threatening.
	Discontinue reslizumab immediately if the patient experiences anaphylaxis.
Contraindications	History of hypersensitivity to reslizumab or any of its excipients
Warnings/ Precautions	 <u>Anaphylaxis</u>: See boxed warning. Anaphylaxis events were observed during or within 20 minutes after completion of the reslizumab infusion and reported as early as the second dose of reslizumab. Manifestations included dyspnea, decreased oxygen saturation, wheezing, vomiting, and skin and mucosal involvement, including urticaria. In all 3 cases, reslizumab was discontinued. <u>Acute asthma symptoms or deteriorating disease</u>: Do not use reslizumab to treat acute asthma symptoms or acute asthma exacerbations <u>Malignancy</u>: in the placebo-controlled trials, 6/1028 (0.6%) patients receiving reslizumab had at least one malignant neoplasm reported compared to 2/730 (0.3%) patients in the placebo group. The malignancies reported in the reslizumab group were diverse in nature and without clustering of any particular tissue type. The majority were diagnosed within less than six months of exposure to reslizumab. <u>Reduction of corticosteroid dosage</u>: no clinical studies have been conducted to assess

	 not abruptly discontinue appropriate, reduction sl <u>Parasitic (helminth) infe</u> against parasitic infectio clinical trials). Pre-exis reslizumab. If an infect anti-helminth therapy, d 	e systemic or inhale hould be done grac <u>ection</u> : It is unknow ons (patients with k ting infection shou ion occurs while be liscontinue reslizur	ed steroids upon i lually and under t wn if reslizumab nown parasitic ir ld be treated prio eing treated with	will influence a patient's response ifections were excluded from the r to initiating therapy with reslizumab and does not respond t		
Safety Considerations	Transient elevation in CPK i reslizumab and placebo grou	vas more frequent i in those with norm ips respectively du eline value were re	al baseline values ring routine labor slizumab (0.8%)	groups (14%) than placebo (9%). occurred in 20% and 18% of the atory assessment. CPK elevation and placebo (0.4%). Elevations acontinuation.		
	Myalgia was reported in 1% of the reslizumab 3mg/kg group compared to 0.5% of the placebo group. On the day of infusion, musculoskeletal AEs were reported in 2.2% of the reslizumab 3mg/kg and 1.5% of the placebo groups. These reactions included musculoskeletal chest pain, neck pain, muscle spasm, extremity pain, muscle fatigue and musculoskeletal pain. <i>Infection</i> Infections, including serious infections and opportunistic infections, were reported in 41% and 53% of the reslizumab and placebo-treated groups respectively. The most commonly reported infection events were nasopharyngitis (14%), upper respiratory tract infection (12%), sinusitis (7%), and bronchitis (6%). No opportunistic infections were reported, including helminthic infections. Reslizumab clinical studies included regions where helminthic parasitic infections are prevalent.					
	patients developed at least of ADA-negative patients had s	ne positive ADA d similar adverse every persensitivity reac	uring the treatme nt profile and po tions to reslizuma	About 5% of reslizumab treated nt period. The ADA-positive and sitive antibody status was not b. Change in blood eosinophils		
Adverse Reactions						
Common adverse reactions	Common adverse reaction	-		n the placebo group with the was reported more often with		
	Table 6 : Adverse Reac	tions (>20/) from [
	Table 6: Adverse Reac	RSLZ (%)	Placebo (%)			
		(n=1028)	(n=730)			
	Asthma	22.6	39.6			
	Nasopharyngitis	10.0	14.1			
	URI	9.3	9.5			
	Headache Sinusitis	7.6 5.5	<u>8.5</u> 7.0			
	Bronchitis	3.3	7.0			
		3.3	2.2			

3.3

3.2

3.2

2.7

2.6

2.2

2.1

3.3

3.4

5.1

3.0

2.2

3.4

3.2

Allergic rhinitis Oropharyngeal pain

UTI

Back pain Influenza

Pharyngitis

Cough

	Dyspnea	2.1	2.7				
	FDA Briefing Document						
Death/Serious adverse reactions (SAE)	Deaths : 1 death in the in the 16-week trials. None were considered cancer (1), hemoptysis	Four deaths wer related to study s/aspiration pneu	e reported in the op drug. The cause o	oen-label ext f death was	tension studies. progressive anal		
	accidental drug overde	ose (1).					
	Non-fatal SAE						
	52-week trials: Resliz	umab 42/477 (8.	.8%); placebo 57/4	75 (12%)			
	16-week trials: Resliz						
	Most reports were astl						
Discontinuations due		52-week trials: Reslizumab 12/477 (2.5%); placebo 17/475 (3.6%)					
to adverse reactions	16-week trials: Reslizumab 39/501 (7.8%); placebo 21/203 (10.3%)						
Drug Interactions							
Drug-drug interactions	Formal drug interaction trials have not been conducted. However, possibility of						
	drug interactions should be considered based on class of drug (e.g., vaccines, other						
	biologics, etc.)						
Drug-food interactions	None						
Drug-lab interactions	None						
Pharmacogenomics	None						
Risk Evaluation							
As of June 2016							
Sentinel event advisories							
Look-alike/sound-alike					SA information from		
potentials		ces (Lexi-Comp	, First Databank, a	nd ISMP Co	onfused Drug Name		
	List)						
	NME Drug	Lexi-Comp	First DataBank	ISMP	Clinical		
	Name	· · · ·			Judgment		
	Reslizumab	None	None	None	Ranibizumab Raxibacumab		
	Cinqair	None	None	None	Cinryze Cimzia Singulair		
Other Consideration	s						
• Supplied as 100mg/							

- Refrigerate at 2°C to 8°C (36°F to 46°F). Do not freeze. Do not shake. Protect from light by storing in the original package until time of use
- Reslizumab is only available to VA through ASD Healthcare. ASD Healthcare will accept wholesale orders for the product and no specialty pharmacy services are required. Details are posted on PBM's SharePoint site: https://vaww.cmopnational.va.gov/cmop/PBM/Special%20Handling%20Drugs/Forms/AllItems.aspx

Dosing and Administration

See product labeling for complete instructions

- 3mg/kg every 4 weeks by IV infusion over 20-50 minutes
- If refrigerated prior to administration, allow diluted reslizumab to reach room temperature
- Reslizumab should be administered in a healthcare setting by a healthcare professional prepared to manage anaphylaxis

Special I opulations (Hauns)	
	Comments
Elderly	There were 122 patients enrolled in the clinical trials who were ≥ 65 years old that received reslizumab. Overall differences in safety or effectiveness were not observed between younger and older patients. No dosage adjustment is required.
Pregnancy	Data on pregnancy exposure are insufficient to inform on drug-associated risks. Transfer of monoclonal antibodies across the placenta increases in a linear fashion as pregnancy progresses (greater during 2 nd and 3 rd trimesters). Reslizumab has a long half-life (approximately 24 days). This needs to be taken into consideration.
	There was no evidence of adverse effects on embryo-fetal development in mice and rabbits at doses ~6 times the exposure at the maximum recommended human dose (MRHD) in mice and ~17 times the MRHD in rabbits.
Lactation	It is not known if reslizumab is present in human milk. However, reslizumab is a monoclonal antibody (IgG4 kappa), and IgG is present in human milk in small amounts. In lactating mice (at 1.5 and 6 times exposures achieved at the MRHD levels) during gestation day 6 and 18 and postnatal day 14, the levels of reslizumab in milk were approximately 5-7% of maternal serum concentration. The benefits of breastfeeding and mother's clinical need for reslizumab versus any potential adverse effects should be considered.
Renal Impairment	None
Hepatic Impairment	None
Pharmacogenetics/genomics	None

Special Populations (Adults)

Projected Place in Therapy

Severe asthma that is not controlled on at least medium-dose ICS + at least one controller drug \pm oral corticosteroids with evidence of eosinophilic inflammation

References:

Castro M, Zangrilli J, Wechsler ME, et al. Reslizumab for inadequately controlled asthma with elevated blood eosinophil counts: results from two multicenter, parallel, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trials. Lancet Respir Med 2015; 3: 355-66.

CINQAIR [package insert]. Teva Respiratory, LLC March 2016.

Bjermer L, Lemiere C, Maspero J, Weiss S, Zangrilli J, Germinaro M, Reslizumab for Inadequately Controlled Asthma with Elevated Blood Eosinophil Levels: a Randomized Phase 3 Study, *CHEST* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.03.032.

Corren J, Weinstein S, Janka L, Zangrilli J, Garin M, Phase 3 Study of Reslizumab in Patients with Poorly Controlled Asthma: Effects across a Broad Range of Eosinophil Counts, *CHEST* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.03.018.

FDA Briefing Document for reslizumab (CINQAIR) http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2016/761033Orig1s000MedR.pdf

Farooqui, N, Khan BQ, Wan JY, et al. blood eosinophils as markers of inflammation in asthma [abstract]. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2009; 103 (3 Suppl): A56-A57.

Van Veen IH, Tem Brinke A, Gaux WA, et al. consistency of sputum eosinophilia in difficult-to-treat asthma: a 5-year follow-up study. J Allery Clin Immunol 2009; 124: 615-617.

Prepared by Deb Khachikian, PharmD