Sorafenib in Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma National Drug Monograph Addendum

May 2015

VA Pharmacy Benefits Management Services, Medical Advisory Panel, and VISN Pharmacist Executives

The purpose of VA PBM Services drug monographs is to provide a comprehensive drug review for making formulary decisions. Updates will be made when new clinical data warrant additional formulary discussion. Documents will be placed in the Archive section when the information is deemed to be no longer current.

This addendum provides information on the use of sorafenib for the treatment of patients with locally recurrent or metastatic, progressive, differentiated thyroid carcinoma (DTC) that is refractory to radioactive iodine treatment. The original drug monograph can be found at:

https://vaww.cmopnational.va.gov/cmop/PBM/Clinical%20Guidance/Drug%20Monographs/Sorafenib,%2 0Monograph.doc

Introduction¹

Sorafenib received initial FDA approval in 2005 for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma. The indication for treatment of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma followed in 2007. This latest indication in DTC, was approved in November, 2013.

Background			
Purpose for review	Recent FDA approval.		
	Issues to be determined:		
	Does sorafenib offer advantages	over current VANF agents?	
	What safety issues need to be considered?		
Other there outin outings	What surery issues need to be con		
Other therapeutic options			
	Formulary Alternatives	Other Considerations	
Key: ORR overall response rate,	doxorubicin	Only EDA approved extertavia agents	
OS overall survival, P2 phase 2,	doxorubicin	Only FDA-approved cytotoxic agent; ORR 25% (all PR) as monotherapy; transient	
PFS progression-free survival, PR partial response, SD stable disease,		effect; no OS benefit; very limited role	
TTP time to progression		Intravenous therapy	
	Non-formulary Alternative (if applicable)	Other Considerations	
	Vandetanib	FDA-approved for medullary thyroid cancer;	
		DTC data: P2, PFS 11 mos (n=145);	
		Oral agent	
	Cabozantinib	FDA-approved for medullary thyroid cancer;	
		DTC data: P1, PR 53% (n=15);	
		Oral agent	
	Sunitinib	FDA-approved for RCC, PNET, GIST;	
		DTC data: P2, PR 28%, SD 46%; TTP 13 mos (n=28);	
		Oral agent	
	Pazopanib	FDA-approved for RCC, soft tissue sarcoma;	
		DTC data: P2, PR 49% (n=39);	
		Oral agent	
	Lenvatinib	FDA-approved for differentiated thyroid cancer;	
		P2, PR 50%, PFS 13 mos (n=58);	
		Oral agent	

Dosing and Administration¹

- Dose of sorafenib is 400 mg (2 x 200 mg tablets) taken twice daily without food (at least 1 hour before, or 2 hours after a meal). Continue treatment until patient is no longer benefiting from therapy or unacceptable toxicity.
- Temporary interruption is recommended in patients undergoing major surgical procedures.
- Temporary interruption or permanent discontinuation may be required for the following:
 - Cardiac ischemia or infarction
 - Hemorrhage requiring medical intervention
 - Severe or persistent hypertension despite adequate anti-hypertensive therapy
 - Gastrointestinal perforation
 - QTc prolongation
 - Severe drug-induced liver injury

Table 1. Dose modifications in DTC

Dose reduction	Sorafenib dose		
First dose reduction	600 mg daily dose	400 mg and 200 mg 12 hours apart (2 tablets and 1 tablet 12 hours apart – either dose can come first)	
Second dose reduction	400 mg daily dose	200 mg twice daily (1 tab twice daily)	
Third dose reduction	200 mg daily dose	200 mg once daily (1 tab once daily)	

Table 2. Dose modifications for d	ermatologic toxicities in DTC	
Dermatologic toxicity grade	Occurrence	Sorafenib dose modification
Gr 1: numbness, dysesthesia,	Any occurrence	Continue treatment with sorafenib
paresthesia, tingling, painless		
swelling, erythema or discomfort of		
the hands or feet which does not		
disrupt the patient's normal activities		
Gr 2: painful erythema and swelling	1 st occurrence	Decrease sorafenib dose to 600 mg
of the hands or feet and/or		daily; if no improvement within 7
discomfort affecting the patient's		days, see below
normal activities	No improvement within 7 days at	Interrupt sorafenib until resolved or
	reduced dose OR 2 nd occurrence	improved to grade 1; if sorafenib is
		resumed, decrease dose (see Table
		1)
	3 rd occurrence	Interrupt sorafenib until resolved or
		improved to grade 1; if sorafenib is
		resumed, decrease dose (see Table
		1)
	4 th occurrence	Discontinue sorafenib permanently
Gr 3: moist desquamation,	1 st occurrence	Interrupt sorafenib until resolved or
ulceration, blistering, or severe pain		improved to grade 1; if sorafenib is
of the hands or feet, resulting in		resumed, decrease dose by one dose
inability to work or perform activities		level (see Table 1)
of daily living	2 nd occurrence	Interrupt sorafenib until resolved or
		improved to grade 1; when sorafenib
		is resumed, decrease dose by 2 dose
		levels (see Table 1)
	3 rd occurrence	Discontinue sorafenib permanently
	3 rd occurrence	levels (see Table 1)

Table 2. Dose modifications for dermatologic toxicities in DTC

 Following improvement of Gr 2 or 3 dermatologic toxicity to Gr 0-1 after at least 28 days of treatment on a reduced dose of sorafenib, the dose of sorafenib may be increased one dose level from the reduced dose. Approximately 50% of patients requiring a dose reduction for dermatologic toxicity are expected to meet these criteria for resumption of the higher dose and roughly 50% of patients resuming the previous dose are expected to tolerate the higher dose (that is, maintain the higher dose level without recurrent Gr 2 or higher dermatologic toxicity).

Safety ¹

	Comments		
Boxed Warning	None		
Contraindications	Known severe hypersensitivity to sorafenib or other components		
	 Sorafenib in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel is 		
	contraindicated in patients with squamous cell lung cancer		
Contraindications Warnings/Precautions	Sorafenib in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel is		
	noted in 5.3% (11 of 207) of sorafenib-treated patients in the DTC trial.		
	Risk of Gastrointestinal (GI) Perforation. GI perforation is an		
	uncommon event; reported in < 1% of patients taking sorafenib.		
	Warfarin. Infrequent bleeding or INR increases have been reporte		
	in patients taking warfarin while on sorafenib. Monitor patients		
	taking concomitant warfarin for changes in prothrombin time, INR or clinical bleeding.		
	Wound Healing Complications. Temporary interruption of sorafen		
	is recommended in patients undergoing major surgical procedures		
	The decision to reinitiate sorafenib post-surgery should be based		
	upon clinical judgment of adequate wound healing.		
	 Increased mortality observed with sorafenib administered in 		

combination with carboplatin/paclitaxel and gemcitabine/cisplatin in squamous cell lung cancer.

- Risk of QT Interval Prolongation. Sorafenib can prolong the QT/QTc interval, which increases the risk for ventricular arrhythmias. Avoid sorafenib in patients with congenital long QT syndrome. Monitor electrolytes and electrocardiograms in patients with CHF, bradyarrhythmias, drugs known to prolong the QT interval, including Class Ia and III antiarrhythmics. Correct electrolyte abnormalities (magnesium, potassium, calcium). Interrupt sorafenib if QTc interval is greater than 500 milliseconds or for an increase from baseline of 60 milliseconds or greater.
- Drug-Induced Hepatitis. Sorafenib can cause a hepatitis characterized by a hepatocellular pattern of liver damage with significant increases in transaminases which may result in hepatic failure and death. Increases in bilirubin and INR may also occur. In a global monotherapy database, the incidence of severe drug-induced injury was 0.06%. Monitor liver function tests regularly, should significant increases in transaminases occur without alternative explanation, such as viral hepatitis or progressive malignancy, discontinue sorafenib.
- Embryo-fetal Risk. Sorafenib may cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman. Advise women of childbearing potential to avoid becoming pregnant while on sorafenib due to the potential hazard to the fetus.
- Impairment of Thyroid Stimulating Hormone Suppression in DTC. Sorafenib impairs exogenous thyroid suppression. In the DTC study, 99% of patients had a baseline thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) level less than 0.5 mU/L. Elevation of TSH level above 0.5 mU/L was noted in 41 vs. 16% of sorafenib vs. placebo-treated patients, respectively. The median maximal TSH in affected patients was 1.6 mU/L with 25% having levels greater than 4.4 mU/L. Monitor TSH levels monthly and adjust thyroid replacement medication as needed in patients with DTC.

Safety Considerations

- Adverse events led to the following in DECISION (sorafenib vs. placebo): dose interruption (66 vs. 26%), dose reduction (64 vs. 9%), withdrawal (19 vs. 4%)
- Hand-foot skin reaction was the most common reason for interruptions, reductions and withdrawals
- The mean daily dose of sorafenib was 651 mg (SD 159) and 793 mg (SD 26) of placebo.
- Most adverse events were grades 1 and 2 and occurred early in the course of treatment.
- Patient/caregiver education and diligent monitoring, especially during the first 6 weeks of therapy, will be important when prescribing sorafenib.
- Careful consideration of patient history and comorbidities, particularly with regard to potential toxicities consistent with VEGF inhibition (i.e. risk of bleed, HTN, impaired wound healing).

Adverse Reactions

Common adverse reactions	Incidence 20%: diarrhea, fatigue, infection, alopecia, hand-foot skin reaction, rash, weight loss, decreased appetite, nausea, gastrointestinal and abdominal pain, hypertension and hemorrhage.
Death/Serious adverse	Grade 3 and 4: Hand-foot skin reaction, HTN, Diarrhea, Fatigue, Weight

reactions	loss
Discontinuations due to	14% (vs. 1.4% in the placebo arm)
adverse reactions	

Efficacy (FDA Approved Indications)

Literature Search Summary

A literature search was performed on PubMed/Medline (1966 to February 2015) using the search terms sorafenib and Nexavar. The search was limited to studies performed in humans and published in the English language. Reference lists of review articles and the manufacturer's AMCP dossier were searched for relevant clinical trials. All randomized controlled trials published in peer-reviewed journals were included.

Review of Efficacy in DTC

Study design	Inclusion/Demographics	Intervention	Outcomes
DECISION ²	Inclusion	Sorafenib 400 mg PO	Sorafenib 400 mg PO BID vs.
R, DB, MC, PC, P3	Age <u>></u> 18 yrs; LA or	BID vs. placebo PO BID	placebo PO BID
N=417	metastatic radioactive		
77 centers;	iodine-refractory DTC,	Stratified by age (<60	Primary endpoint: PFS
18 countries	progressive disease, ECOG	vs. <u>></u> 60 yrs) and	Secondary: OS, TTP, ORR,
	PS 0-2, adequate bone	geography (North	DCR, DOR, safety
	marrow, liver and renal	America vs. Europe vs.	
	function, TSH < 0.5 mIU/L	Asia)	Median follow-up 16 mos:
			Central review
	<u>Exclusion</u>	Treatment until PD,	<u>PFS</u> 10.8 vs. 5.8 mos
	Prior targeted therapy,	toxicity, non-	[HR 0.59 (0.45-0.76);
	thalidomide or chemo for	compliance or	p<0.0001]
	thyroid cancer; low-dose	withdrawal	Investigator-assessed
	chemo for		<u>PFS</u> 10.8 vs. 5.4 mos
	radiosensitization	Tumor assessment	[HR 0.49 (0.39-0.61);
	permitted	q8wks with RECIST	p<0.0001]
		criteria	<u>OS</u> not significant
	<u>Demographics</u>		[HR 0.80 (0.54-1.19); p=0.14]
	Median age 63 yrs (24-87)		<u>ORR</u> (all PR)
	<u>></u> 60 yrs (61%)		12.2 vs. 0.5% (p<0.0001)
	White ~60%		<u>DCR</u> 54.1 vs. 33.8%
	Asian ~23%		(p<0.0001)
	Distant mets ~96%		<u>TTP</u> 11 vs. 5.7 mos
			[HR 0.56 (0.43-0.72);
			p<0.0001]
			<u>DOR</u> 10.2 mos (7.4-16.6)
			Tx duration 10.6 vs. 6.5 mos
			SAEs (Gr 3, 4): 37 vs. 26%
			HFS 20 vs. 0
			Diarrhea 5.8 vs. 1%
			Rash 4.8 vs. 0
			Fatigue 5.3 vs. 1.4%
			Weight loss 5.8 vs. 1%
			HTN 9.7 vs. 2.4%
			Hypocalcemia 9.2 vs. 1.5%

Sorafenib vs. Placebo

Paschke, et al. ³ Evaluate BRAF and RAS mutations in DECISION as prognosticators, determinant of response N=256 126 sorafenib; 130 placebo	Same population as above	Archived tumor samples were analyzed for 238 mutations in 19 common oncogenes	mBRAF 30%; mRAS 19%; no mutations 47% <u>sorafenib arm</u> wtBRAF (n=92): HR = 0.55, p < 0.001; mBRAF (n=34): HR = 0.46, p = 0.02; (interaction p-value = 0.65) wtRAS (n=102): HR = 0.60, p = 0.004; mRAS (n=24): HR = 0.49, p = 0.045; (interaction p-value = 0.42)
			Neither BRAF or RAS mutations were independently prognostic for PFS

R Randomized DB Double-Blind, MC Multicenter, PC Placebo-controlled, P3 phase 3, OL open label, P2 phase 2, LA locally advanced, DTC disseminated thyroid carcinoma, TSH thyroid stimulating hormone, TTP time to progression, DCR disease control rate, DOR duration of response

- DECISION was a phase 3 trial comparing sorafenib vs. placebo in patients with locally advanced or metastatic radioactive iodine-refractory differentiated thyroid cancer. The primary endpoint of PFS was significantly increased in the sorafenib arm (both via central and investigator-review).
- Overall survival, a secondary endpoint, was not reached and did not differ significantly between groups. The majority of patients (71%) in the placebo group crossed over to receive open-label sorafenib at time of progression.
- An exploratory analysis of subgroups shows the overall point estimate favors sorafenib use in the specified groups in this trial; Disease control rate, as well as time to progression was prolonged in the sorafenib arm.
- No Quality of Life data have been presented.

Other Considerations

- Updated ATA Guidelines for the Management of Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer are still in development. A specific release/publication date is not available at this time. The 2009 Guideline note potential benefit with anti-angiogenic therapies that have numerous common side effects. Although noted that these toxicities are responsive to supportive care measures, suggest that treatment with these agents should be limited to specialists experienced in their use.
- NCCN Guidelines list sorafenib as a category 2A recommendation in iodine-refractory, recurrent, locally advanced or soft tissue/bony metastatic disease; NCCN also notes that other small molecule TKI's (axitinib, pazopanib, sunitinib, vandetinib) can be considered if clinical trials are not available or appropriate.
- NCCN also provides <u>Principles of Kinase Inhibitor Therapy in Advanced Thyroid Cancer</u>, which points out that several factors should be considered regarding TKI therapy:
 - Therapy is not curative, but can prolong PFS
 - Therapy can be expected to cause significant side effects that can affect quality of life
 - The natural history of DTC and MTC is variable, ranging from months to years
 - Pace of disease progression should be considered as those asymptomatic with indolent disease may not benefit; those with rapidly progressive disease may benefit despite side effect profile
 - Optimal management of kinase inhibitor side effects is essential; guidelines to address dermatologic, hypertensive and GI side effects can be used, as well as dose modification and holding therapy

Projected Place in Therapy

- Thyroid cancer is considered rare in the U.S. The lifetime incidence of developing thyroid cancer is
 less than 1%. It is estimated that 63,000 new cases will be diagnosed in in the U.S. in 2014; resulting
 in 1900 deaths. It is more commonly seen in women and ranks as the 5th most common malignancy
 among women. The peak age of incidence is 49 years.
- Three main types of thyroid cancer are: differentiated (includes papillary, follicular, Hurthle), medullary and anaplastic (undifferentiated). Differentiated thyroid cancers are the most common (>90%) followed by medullary (~4%) and anaplastic (~2%).
- Overall, DTC is the least aggressive type of thyroid cancer and has an excellent prognosis, although a small percentage of patients will have more aggressive disease. Five-year survival rates are best among patients with local or regional disease (96-99%), whereas those with distant disease fare worse (56%).
- Factors associated with poorer prognosis in DTC include: age > 45 years, male gender, radioactive iodine resistance, PET scan with positive FDG uptake.
- Genetic alterations within the MAPK and/or PI3 signaling pathways have been identified in the pathogenesis of thyroid cancer. VEGF is a noted contributor to progression. The focus of research has been on the development of novel agents that affect these targets.
- Cytotoxic chemotherapy has a very limited role, if any, in the management of thyroid cancer. International guidelines no longer support its use.
- Multiple tyrosine kinase inhibitors have activity in thyroid cancer, though not all have FDA-approval for this indication.
- Some patients exhibit an indolent course of disease with minimal to no symptoms. There does not appear to be a benefit of targeted therapy in these patients, as there is a great risk of toxicity. No benefit in overall survival or quality of life has been shown to date. In symptomatic patients with an aggressive disease course, the potential benefit of disease stabilization needs to be weighed against the toxicity profile and commitment to intensive management strategies.

References

- 1. Nexavar (sorafenib) Prescribing Information. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc. Whippany, NJ. November 2013. Accessed 2/2015.
- Brose MS, Nutting CM, Jarzab B, for the DECISION investigators. Sorafenib in Radioactive Iodine-Refractory, Locally Advanced or Metastatic Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: A Randomized, Double-blind, Phase 3 Trial. Lancet 2014; 384: 319.
- Brose MS, Nutting C, Shong YK, et al. Association Between Tumor BRAF and RAS Mutation Status and Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Radioactive Iodine (RAI)-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer (DTC) Randomized to Sorafenib or Placebo: Sub-Analysis of the Phase III DECISION Trial. ECCO/ESMO/ESTRO Annual Meeting 2013; Amsterdam
- 4. Schneider TC, Abdulrahman RM, Corssmit EP, et al. Long-Term Analysis of the Efficacy and Tolerability of Sorafenib in Advanced Radio-Iodine Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma: Final Results of a Phase II Trial. European Journal of Endocrinology 2012; 167: 643.
- R Paschke, MS Brose, C Nutting, et al. Association between tumor BRAF and RAS mutation status and clinical outcomes in patients with radioactive iodine (RAI)-refractory differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) randomized to sorafenib or placebo: sub-analysis of the phase III DECISION trial. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2014; 122 - OP7_37. DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1372012
- 6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines. Thyroid Carcinoma Papillary Carcinoma. Version 2.2014. Accessed February 2014. <u>http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/thyroid.pdf</u>
- 7. Brose MC, Frenette CT, Keefe SM, Stein SM. Management of Sorafenib-Related Adverse Events: A Clinician's Perspective. Seminars in Oncology 2014; 41: S1.
- Carhill AA, Cabanillas ME, Jimenez C, et al. The Noninvestigational Use of Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors in Thyroid Cancer: Establishing a Standard for Patient Safety and Monitoring. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013; 98: 31.
- 9. Pacini F, Schlumberger M, Dralle H, et al. and the European Thyroid Cancer Taskforce. European Consensus for the Management of Patients with Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma of the Follicular Epithelium. European Journal of Endocrinology 2006; 154: 787.
- American Thyroid Association (ATA) Guidelines Taskforce on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. Revised American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. Thyroid 2009; 19: 1167.
- 11. Leboulleux S, Bastholt L, Krause T, et al. Vandetanib in Locally Advanced or Metastatic Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: A Randomised, Double-Blind, Phase 2 Trial. Lancet Oncol 2012; 13: 897.
- 12. Carr LL, Mankoff DA, Goulart BH, et al. Phase II Study of Daily Sunitinib in FDG-PET-positive, Iodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer and Metastatic Medullary Carcinoma of the Thyroid with Functional Imaging Correlation. Clin Cancer Res 2010; 16: 5260.
- 13. Xing M. Molecular Pathogenesis and Mechanisms of Thyroid Cancer. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2010; 321: 86.
- 14. Bible KC, Suman VJ, Molina JR, et al. Efficacy of Pazopanib in Progressive, Radioiodine-Refractory, Metastatic Differentiated Thyroid Cancers: results of a Phase 2 Consortium Study. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 962.

Prepared May/2015. Contact person: Berni Heron, Pharm.D., BCOP, National PBM Clinical Pharmacy Program Manager

Appendix 1: Approval Endpoints

Endpoint	Regulatory Evidence	Study Design	Advantages	Disadvantages
Overall Survival	Clinical benefit for regular approval	Randomized studies essential Blinding not essential	Universally accepted direct measure of benefit Easily measured Precisely measured	 May involve larger studies May be affected by crossover therapy and sequential therapy Includes noncancer deaths
Symptom Endpoints (patient-reported outcomes)	Clinical benefit for regular approval	Randomized blinded studies	Patient perspective of direct clinical benefit	 Blinding is often difficult Data are frequently missing or incomplete Clinical significance of small changes is unknown Multiple analyses Lack of validated instruments
Disease-Free Survival	Surrogate for accelerated approval or regular approval*	 Randomized studies essential Blinding preferred Blinded review recommended 	 Smaller sample size and shorter follow-up necessary compared with survival studies 	 Not statistically validated as surrogate for survival in all settings Not precisely measured; subject to assessment bias, particularly in open-label studies Definitions vary among studies
Objective Response Rate	Surrogate for accelerated approval or regular approval*	 Single-arm or randomized studies can be used Blinding preferred in comparative studies Blinded review recommended 	 Can be assessed in single-arm studies Assessed earlier and in smaller studies compared with survival studies Effect attributable to drug, not natural history 	 Not a direct measure of benefit in all cases Not a comprehensive measure of drug activity Only a subset of patients with benefit
Complete Response	Surrogate for accelerated approval or regular approval*	 Single-arm or randomized studies can be used Blinding preferred in comparative studies Blinded review recommended 	 Can be assessed in single-arm studies Durable complete responses can represent clinical benefit Assessed earlier and in smaller studies compared with survival studies 	 Not a direct measure of benefit in all cases Not a comprehensive measure of drug activity Small subset of patients with benefit
Progression- Free Survival (includes all deaths) or Time to Progression (deaths before progression censored)	Surrogate for accelerated approval or regular approval*	 Randomized studies essential Blinding preferred Blinded review recommended 	 Smaller sample size and shorter follow-up necessary compared with survival studies Measurement of stable disease included Not affected by crossover or subsequent therapies Generally based on objective and quantitative assessment 	 Not statistically validated as surrogate for survival in all settings Not precisely measured; subject to assessment bias particularly in open-label studies Definitions vary among studies Frequent radiological or other assessments Involves balanced timing of assessments among treatment arms

Table 1. A Comparison of Important Cancer Approval Endpoints

*Adequacy as a surrogate endpoint for accelerated approval or regular approval is highly dependent upon other factors such as effect size, effect duration, and benefits of other available therapy. See text for details.

Guidance for Industry: Clinical Trial Endpoints for the Approval of Cancer Drugs and Biologics. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), May 2007.